A Graphical and Open Source Matlab-GAMS interface for Electricity Market Models

Federico Milano

Departamento de Ingeniería Eléctrica, Electrónica y Automática E.T.S.I.I., Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha Campus de Ciudad Real, 13071 Ciudad Real (España) Tel: +34 926 295 219, fax: 926 295 361, e-mail: **Federico.Milano@uclm.es**

Abstract. This paper describes the PSAT-GAMS interface to solve a variety of optimization models. The Power System Analysis Toolbox (PSAT) is an open source Matlab-based software package for analysis and design of small to medium size electric power systems. While the General Algebraic Modeling System (GAMS) is a high-level modeling system for mathematical programing problems. It consists of a language compiler and a variety of integrated high-performance solvers. A bridge between GAMS and Matlab allows using sophisticated nonlinear optimization tools with the visualization tools provided by Matlab. Examples based on a didactic 3-bus test system and the RTS-96 24-bus system are presented to illustrate the capabilities of the interface.

Keywords

Electricity markets, optimal power flow, linear programming, nonlinear programming, Matlab, GAMS.

1. Introduction

In recent years, the increasing interest for competitive and secure electricity markets and their mathematical exploitations have led to the proposal of a huge variety of market clearing models. This results in a variety of models and mathematical techniques proposed in the literature to take into account diverse market features and behaviors. Recent relevant contributions on this topic are [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], and [6].

In order to develop and fast prototype new market clearing models there is the need of a versatile software tool which is able to combine powerful optimization solvers and a power system dedicated software. This paper describes a novel open-source software tool, namely the PSAT-GAMS interface, for solving market clearing problems as well as stability constrained optimal power flows. The proposed program is suited for the analysis of small to realistic size electric power systems and is oriented to (under)graduate students and researchers in the field of electricity markets and power system analysis.

PSAT is a Matlab toolbox for electric power system analysis and control [7]. PSAT includes power flow, continuation power flow, optimal power flow, small signal stability analysis and time domain simulation. All operations can be assessed by means of graphical user interfaces (GUIs) and a Simulink-based library provides an userfriendly tool for network design. One of the key point of PSAT is that it is completely open source and free. This allows exchanging ideas and effectively improving scientific research [8]. At this aim, the command line version of PSAT can run on GNU/Octave [9], which is a free Matlab clone.

In the field of general purpose optimization techniques, one of researchers' favorite choice is the General Algebraic Modeling System (GAMS) [10]. GAMS is a highlevel modeling system for mathematical programming problems. It consists of a language compiler and a variety of integrated high-performance solvers. GAMS is specifically designed for large and complex scale problems, and allows creating and maintaining models for a wide variety of applications and disciplines [10]. GAMS is able to formulate models in many different types of problem classes, such as linear programming (LP), nonlinear programming (NLP), mixed-integer linear programming (MILP) and (relaxed) mixed-integer nonlinear programming (MINLP).

The paper is organized as follows. Firstly the main features of PSAT are illustrated in Section 2. Section 3 gives the outlines of the PSAT-GAMS interface while Section 4 gives a brief insight on the mathematical models currently implemented in the PSAT-GAMS interface. Section 5 depicts a variety of examples based on a didactic 3-bus test system and the RTS-96 test system [11]. Finally Section 6 summarizes the main contributions of this paper.

2. PSAT Outlines

PSAT is a well documented Matlab-based software for power system analysis and is aimed to researchers and power system (under)graduated students [7], [12]. The program is provided with a complete set of power system models and algorithms for static and dynamic analysis. Furthermore PSAT is modular and open-source, so that users can easily develop new models and algorithms and extend PSAT capabilities.

The synoptic scheme of PSAT is depicted in Fig. 1. Observe that the PSAT kernel is the power flow algorithm, which also takes care of the initialization of dynamic models. The user has to solve the power flow first, then one can perform further static and/or dynamic analysis. These are:

- 1) Continuation Power Flow (CPF);
- 2) Optimal Power Flow (OPF);
- 3) Small signal stability analysis;
- 4) Time domain simulations.



Fig. 1. Synoptic scheme of PSAT.

An important feature of PSAT is the fully exploitation of Matlab vectorized computations and sparse matrix functions. This allows optimizing performances. Furthermore PSAT is provided with the most complete set of algorithms for static and dynamic analyses among currently available Matlab-based power system softwares [7]. In order to perform accurate and complete power system analyses, PSAT supports a variety of static and dynamic models, including non conventional loads, synchronous machines and controls, regulating transformers, FACTS, wind turbines, and fuel cells [12]. Besides mathematical algorithms and models, PSAT includes a variety of additional tools, such as data file conversion to and from other formats; user defined model editor and installer; and command line usage. Observe that command line usage allows running PSAT on GNU/Octave.

PSAT has been thought as an educational tool. At this aim, PSAT is provided with a complete graphical user interface (GUI). For example Fig. 2 illustrates the main PSAT window, from which the user can launch all algorithms and procedures. Furthermore, PSAT allows drawing one-line electrical diagrams by means of pictorial blocks. Fig. 3 depicts the complete PSAT-Simulink library (see also Figs. 6 and 12 which illustrate the 3-bus and the RTS-96 24-bus test systems, respectively).

PSAT133			>
ile Edit Run Tools Interface	s View Options Help		
	I O D 🖱 🕂 🗠		00
Data File			
		50	Freq. Base (Hz)
Perturbation		100	Power Base
		0	Starting Time (s)
Command		20	Ending Time (s)
		1e-05	PF Tolerance
<empty></empty>		20	Max PF
		1e-05	Dyn. Tolerance
		20	Max Dyn
PSAT	Power Flow	Time Domain	Settings
~~~	Continuation PF	Load System	Plot
Version 1.3.3 January 26, 2005	Optimal PF	Save System	Close
PSAT version 1.3.3, Copyright	(C) 2002-2004 Federico Mila	ano	

Fig. 2. Main graphical user interface of PSAT.

The PSAT computational engine is purely Matlab-based and the Simulink environment is used only as graphical tool. As a matter of fact, Simulink models are read by PSAT to exploit network topology and extract component data. A byproduct of this approach is that PSAT can run on GNU/Octave, which is currently not providing a Simulink clone.

Observe that some Simulink-based tools, such as PAT [13] and EST [14], use Simulink to simplify the design of new control schemes. This is not possible in PSAT. However, PAT and EST do not allow representing the network topology, thus resulting in a lower readability of the whole system.

\$ ∘ ♦ TG (AVR) (PV) o  $( \mathbb{E}^{\circ} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{\circ} )$ •**@**• <del>---</del>• **∕CC**> dM dZIP d°F °Ø° ~ OXL CAC of oER  $\mathcal{A}^{\circ}$ °⊂±¢0+b - Or of OF ~ Ø • Ø • O °∕¢O+₁ പ്പ പ്പ്പം പ്പ്പ്പാം (Ç): ₀−±∞+₀ Ĥ,Ōo føD+ъ

Fig. 3. PSAT Simulink library.

## 3. PSAT-GAMS Interface

Figure 4 depicts the scheme of the PSAT-GAMS interface. The resulting software is a rather innovative tool able to set up large scale power system test cases, solve complex OPF problems and finally visualize results by means of a user-friendly GUI which is depicted in Fig. 5. The GUI allows selecting the market clearing model, setting model parameters (please see Section 4 for details on market clearing models), and displaying GAMS results.

An existing Matlab-GAMS Interface [15] has been used as the main reference for creating the PSAT-GAMS Interface (PGI). However, the PGI has been completely rewritten and optimized for the use within the PSAT environment. Main novelties of the PGI with respect to the interface presented in [15] are as follows:



Fig. 4. Structure of the PSAT-GAMS interface.

PSAT-GAMS File Edit Run Options Links		×
Weighting Factor [0,1] 0 Min Loading Parameter 0.1 Max Loading Parameter 0.8 GAMS Input/Output File fm_gams.lst =	Line Selection	Optimization Model Market Clearing Mechanish Flow Limits Currents Solution Type Single Period Auction
Run GAMS	View GAMS Output	Close
	PSAT-GAMS Interface	GAMS

Fig. 5. GUI of the PSAT-GAMS interface.

- *1)* PGI is platform independent. This feature improve portability and in turn the development of the interface.
- 2) PGI comes with a complete GUI.
- 3) PGI does not require the user to know anything about Matlab and/or GAMS programming language. This is useful for educational purposes.

Before the interface can be used, one has to create data file describing the system. At this aim the user can use the PSAT-Simulink interface and draw the on-line diagram of the network or load a predefined test network which is provided within the PSAT main distribution. The second step is solving the power flow. At this point, the PSAT-GAMS interface can be opened and the GAMS solvers launched. Observe that only networks for which market data have been defined can be used with the PSAT-GAMS interface. If no market data has been defined, the interface simply terminates with a warning message.

The interface works as follows: the system information are "translated" into a GAMS file (psatdata.gms). User settings (e.g. the market clearing model) and global variables (e.g. the number of bus) are also written to a GAMS script file (psatglobs.gms). The advantage of writing data files is that one could use PSAT to export the GAMS data, and then use GAMS from scripting without the use of Matlab. This feature can be useful in case of "heavy" applications, where all computer resource are needed.

Once the data files have been written, GAMS is launched from within Matlab and the market clearing procedure solved. The routines (fm_gams.gms) for solving the market clearing problems have been designed to be as general as possible, with no limit in the network size or in the number of market participants. Limits are only the computer memory and GAMS solvers' capabilities.

Finally, when the GAMS solver has terminated, the GAMS output (psatsol.m) is passed back to Matlab, so that GAMS results can be displayed using the graphical capabilities of Matlab or used for further analyses using PSAT routines.

### 4. Market Clearing Models

The current version of the PGI is provided with five optimization problems, namely simple auction, simplified market clearing mechanism, standard OPF, voltage stability constrained (VSC)-OPF and maximization of the maximum loading condition. A brief description of these models is presented in the following subsections.

#### A. General single-period optimization model

The general optimization problem which is implemented in the PSAT-GAMS interface can be represented as a nonlinear programming model, as follows:

$$\begin{split} \text{Maximize}_{(x,p,\hat{x},\lambda)} & f(p,\lambda) & (1) \\ \text{subject to} & g(x,p) = 0 \\ & \hat{g}(\hat{x},p,\lambda) = 0 \\ & \lambda_{\min} \leq \lambda \\ & \lambda \leq \lambda_{\max} \\ & h_{\min} \leq h(x,p) \\ & h(x,p) \leq h_{\max} \\ & \hat{h}_{\min} \leq h(\hat{x},p) \\ & h(\hat{x},p) \leq \hat{h}_{\max} \\ & p_{\min} \leq p \\ & p \leq p_{\max} \end{split} \end{split}$$

where  $x, \hat{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  are the dependent variables, such as bus voltage phasors,  $p \in \mathbb{R}^m$  are the control variables, i.e. power demand and supply bids  $P_D$  and  $P_S$ , respectively, and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  is loading parameter. The functions  $f : \mathbb{R}^m \mapsto \mathbb{R}, g, \hat{g} : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \mapsto \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $h, \hat{h} : \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathbb{R}^\ell$  are defined as follows:

#### A.1. Objective function

For pure market clearing problems, the objective function f is defined as follows:

$$f = \sum_{i} C_{D_{i}}(P_{D_{i}}) - \sum_{i} C_{S_{i}}(P_{S_{i}})$$
(2)

Equation (2) represents consumer surplus plus the producer surplus (i.e. the net social welfare). It is computed as the difference of two terms. The first term is the sum of accepted demand bids  $P_{D_i}$  times their corresponding bid prices  $C_{D_i}$  in \$/MWh. (In the case of inelastic demand, demand powers  $P_D$  are known, which can be represented in (2) by setting  $C_D = 0$ .) The second term is the sum of accepted production bids  $P_{S_i}$  times their corresponding bid prices  $C_{S_i}$  in \$/MWh. Observe that (2) is linear for simple auction and market clearing procedure, while is assumed to be a quadratic expression for OPF-based problems.

For the voltage stability constrained problem, the objective function includes the maximization of the loading parameter  $\lambda$ , as it was proposed in [6]:

$$f = \omega (\sum_{i} (C_{D_{i}}(P_{D_{i}}) - \sum_{i} C_{S_{i}}(P_{S_{i}})) + (1 - \omega)\lambda$$
(3)

In (3), two terms are present, with their influence on the final solution being determined by the value of the weighting factor  $\omega$  (0 <  $\omega$  < 1). The first term represents the social welfare, whereas the second term guarantees that the "distance" between the market solution and the critical point is maximized [6]. Observe that  $\omega > 0$ , since for  $\omega = 0$  there would be no representation of the market in the proposed OPF formulation, rendering it useless. Furthermore,  $\omega < 1$ , otherwise  $\lambda$  will not necessarily correspond to a maximum loading condition of the system.

Finally, for the maximum loading condition problem, one has:

$$f = \lambda \tag{4}$$

which leads to a pure security problem with no market involved [16]. This lead to get the maximum loading condition of the system. It is important to stress the fact that the maximum value for  $\lambda$  can be associated with thermal or bus voltage limits, or a voltage stability limit due to a singularity of the power flow Jacobian (saddle-node bifurcation) or a controller limit such as a generator reactive power limit (limit-induced bifurcation).

#### A.2. Equality constraints

The equations g represents the standard power flow equations:

$$g(x,p) = g(\theta, V, k_G, P_S, P_D) = 0$$
(5)

where  $x = (\theta, V, k_G)$  and  $p = (P_S, P_D)$ . The variables  $\theta$  and V are the bus voltage phases and magnitudes, respectively, while  $k_G$  is a scalar variable used to represent system losses through a unique or distributed slack bus. Observe that x represents the set of dependent variables to be optimized, i.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Generator reactive powers

 $Q_G$  are not included in this set and are expressed as a function of x and p.

The generator and load powers are defined as follows:

$$P_G = P_{G_0} + P_S$$

$$P_L = P_{L_0} + P_D$$
(6)

where  $P_{G_0}$  and  $P_{L_0}$  stand for generator and load powers which are not part of the market trading (e.g. must-run generators, inelastic loads). Loads are assumed to have constant power factor, thus:

$$Q_L = P_L \tan(\phi_L) \tag{7}$$

For the simple auction problem, g reduce to a lossless power balance, as follows:

$$\sum_{i} (P_{G_{0i}} + P_{S_i}) - \sum_{i} (P_{L_{0i}} + P_{D_i}) = 0$$
 (8)

while in the simplified market clearing procedure losses are taken into account through a simplified quadratic expression:

$$\sum_{i} (P_{G_{0i}} + P_{S_{i}})$$

$$-\sum_{i} (P_{L_{0i}} + P_{D_{i}}) - \theta^{T} G \theta = 0$$

$$P_{S} + P_{G_{0}} - P_{D} - P_{L0} - B \theta = 0$$
(9)

where G and B are the network susceptance and conductance matrices, respectively.

#### A.3. Inequality constraints

In (1), the set of inequality constraints has been split into h, which represent the physical and security limits of the system, and the bid blocks for control variables p.

The physical and security limits considered in this paper are similar to what is used in [17], and take into account transmission line thermal limits:

$$I_{ij}(\theta, V) \le I_{ij_{\max}}$$
(10)  
$$I_{ji}(\theta, V) \le I_{ij_{\max}}$$

generator reactive power limits:

$$Q_{G_{\min}} \le Q_G(x, p) \le Q_{G_{\max}} \tag{11}$$

voltage "security" limits:

$$V_{\min} \le V \le V_{\max} \tag{12}$$

and power limits on transmission lines:

$$|P_{ij}| \le P_{ij\max} \tag{13}$$

which are used to represent stability boundaries of the system [17]. Observe that (13) are typically "conservative" and can in general lead to low transaction levels, higher costs and lower security margins as shown in [6]. The user can decide if including or not these limits. Thus,  $h = [I_{ij}, I_{ji}, Q_G, V, P_{ij}]$ .

The limits in p are represented as follows:

$$P_{S_{\min}} \le P_S \le P_{S_{\max}} \tag{14}$$

$$P_{D_{\min}} \le P_D \le P_{D_{\max}}$$

#### B. Maximum loading condition constraints

The second set of power flow variables  $\hat{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and equations  $\hat{g} : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^n$ , together with their associated constraints  $h(\hat{x}) : \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{\ell}$ , are introduced to represent the solution associated with a loading parameter  $\lambda$ , where  $\lambda$  represents an increase in generator and load powers, as follows:

$$\hat{P}_G = (1 + \lambda + \hat{k}_G)P_G$$

$$\hat{P}_L = (1 + \lambda)P_L$$
(15)

In (15), the scalar variable  $\hat{k}_G$  allocates losses, assuming a distributed slack bus model. If using the maximum loading condition constraints, (1) is similar to the optimization problems which were proposed in [16] and [6]. It is assumed that (1) has a solution for  $\lambda = 0$ , i.e. the base loading conditions do not exceed the maximum system loading. Observe that for the maximum loading condition problem, only  $\hat{g}$  and  $\hat{h}$  are used, while g and h are neglected.

Boundaries for the loading margin  $\lambda$  have been included in (1) based on practical considerations. The minimum limit  $\lambda_{\min}$  is introduced in order to ensure a minimum level of security in any operating condition, where the maximum value  $\lambda_{\max}$  imposes a maximum required security level. These conditions ensure that the loading parameter remains within certain limits to avoid solutions of (1) characterized by either low security levels ( $\lambda < \lambda_{\min}$ ) or low supply and demand levels ( $\lambda > \lambda_{\max}$ ), which would be unacceptable [6]. Observe also that one can set  $\lambda_{\max} = \lambda_{\min}$ , thus fixing a desired value of the loading parameter.

# 5. Case Studies

In this section, a simple 3-bus test system and the RTS-96 24-bus test system [11] will be used for illustrating how PSAT and the PSAT-GAMS interface work. Authors interested in reproducing the outputs could retrieve the data from the PSAT web site [12]).

Figure 6 depicts the 3-bus test system as obtained using the PSAT-Simulink library. Each pictorial block of the diagram hides a mask where the user can set up the data associated with the correspondent component. Thus, the user can easily draw the one-line diagram and filling up the block masks to set up system data. If no data are set, default block parameters will be used.



Fig. 6. PSAT-Simulink model of the three-bus example.

Once the model is completed, it has to be loaded in the Matlab workspace. While loading the Simulink data, PSAT takes care of the conversion into a Matlab script file in which each component is defined by means of matrices. Figure 7 represents the data file as results from the conversion of the Simulink model. Observe that this file can be easily read by Matlab, but is cannot be recognized by GAMS. Furthermore, line data are in the form of  $\pi$  model, while the admittance matrix elements should be passed to the GAMS optimization routines.

Next step is solving the power flow from within PSAT. Once the power flow analysis has been completed successfully, the information that can be obtained is twofold. Firstly, the set of input data is "good" as it led to a power flow solution. Secondly, PSAT has internally completed all base changes and built the admittance and Jacobian matrices need during the power flow solution method. Observe that all variables, data and results are stored as global structures in the Matlab workspace so that they are available for other routines and can be displayed at any time on the Matlab workspace.

At this point the PSAT-GAMS interface can be open, parameters and settings can be adjusted and the GAMS routine can be launched. Figures 8, 9, and 10 depict the input and output files which are used for exchanging data between PSAT and GAMS. Observe that the data input for the GAMS routine is a plain text file which is much less clear and "user friendly" than the Simulink one-line diagram of Fig. 6. As a results of the PSAT-GAMS interface operations, on the Matlab workspace will displays the output depicted in Fig. 11. Furthermore, the GAMS solution is stored in the PSAT global structures and is available for further analysis.

Bus.con = [ 1 138 1 0 2 1; 2 138 1 0 3 1;				
3 138 1 0 4 1];				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
Shunt.con = [ 3 100 400 60 0 0.5; 2 100 400 60 0 0.8];				
SW.con = [ 1 100 138 1.02 0.00 1.5 -1.5 1.1 0.9 1.5 1 ];				
PV.con = [ 2 100 400 1.00 1.00 1.5 -1.5 1.1 0.9 1; 3 100 400 1.00 1.00 1.5 -1.5 1.1 0.9 1];				
PQ.con = [ 3 100 138 0.5 0.3 1.1 0.9 1; 1 100 138 1.5 0.8 1.1 0.9 1; 2 100 138 1.5 0.7 1.1 0.9 1 ];				
Demand.con = [				
2 100 1.5 0.7 1.0 0 0 0 30 0 0 0 0 0 0; 3 100 0.5 0.3 1.0 0 0 0 35 0 0 0 0 0 0];				
Supply.con = [         1       100       1.5       1.5       0       0       2.5       0       0       0       0;         2       100       0.8       1.0       0       0       3.3       0       0       0       0;         3       100       0.8       1.0       0       0       3.2       0       0       0       0;				
Varname.bus = { 'Busl'; 'Bus2'; 'Bus3'};				

Fig. 7. 3-bus test system data in PSAT format.

\$setglobal	nBus '3'
\$setglobal	nLine '3'
\$setglobal	nPs '3'
\$setglobal	nPd '2'
\$setglobal	nBusref 'l'
\$setglobal	control '3'
\$setglobal	flow '1'

Fig. 8. Input file psatglobs.gms for the three-bus test system.

\$onempty	2.2 1.000000	2.Qg0 -0.216881
\$kill Gh	3.3 1.000000	3.Qg0 -0.399686
parameter Gh /	/;	1.Pl0 1.500000
1.1 1.379310	\$kill Pd_idx	2.Pl0 1.500000
2.1 -0.689655	parameter Pd_idx /	3.P10 0.500000
3.1 -0.689655	2.1 1.000000	1.010 0.800000
1.2 -0.689655	3.2 1.000000	2.010 0.700000
2.2 1.379310	/;	3.010 0.300000
3.2 -0.689655	škill S	1.0qmax 1.500000
1.3 -0.689655	parameter S /	2.0qmax 1.500000
2.3 -0.689655	1.Ps0 1.500000	3.0gmax 1.500000
3.3 1.379310	2.Ps0 0.800000	1.0qmin -1.500000
/;	3.Ps0 0.800000	2.0gmin -1.500000
\$kill Bh	1.Psmax 1.500000	3.0gmin -1.500000
parameter Bh /	2.Psmax 1.000000	1.Vmax 1.100000
1.1 -16.551724	3.Psmax 1.000000	2.Vmax 1.100000
2.1 8.275862	1.Cs 25.000000	3.Vmax 1.100000
3.1 8.275862	2.Cs 33.000000	1.Vmin 0.900000
1.2.8.275862	3.Cs 32.000000	2.Vmin 0.900000
2.2 -15.751724	/;	3.Vmin 0.900000
3.2 8.275862	škill D	/;
1.3 8.275862	parameter D /	Skill N
2.3 8.275862	1.Pd0 1.500000	parameter N /
3.3 -16.051724	2.Pd0 0.500000	1. g 0. 689655
/;	1.Pdmax 1.000000	2.g 0.689655
škill Li	2.Pdmax 1.000000	3. g 0. 689655
parameter Li /	1.tophi 0.466667	1.b -8.275862
1.1 1.000000	2.tophi 0.600000	2.b -8.275862
2.1.1.000000	1.Cd 30.000000	3.b -8.275862
3.2 1.000000	2.Cd 35.000000	1.90 0.689655
/;	/;	2.g0 0.689655
skill Li	skill X	3.g0 0.689655
parameter Li /	parameter X /	1.Pijmax 999.000000
2 2 1 00000	1 V0 1 000000	2 Pijmar 999 000000
1 3 1 000000	2 V0 1 000000	3 Pijmar 999 000000
3 3 1 000000	3 V0 1 000000	1 Piimax 999 000000
/:	1 Pa0 1 502223	2 Piimax 999 000000
škill Ps idv	2 Pg0 1 000000	3 Piimax 999 000000
parameter Ps idx /	3 Pg0 1 000000	/:
1 1 1 000000	1 0g0 1 143238	Soffempty
1.1 1.000000	1.830 1.113230	AOTTEMPC1

Fig. 9. Input file psatdata.gms for the three-bus test system.

nout = nout + 1;	<pre>varargout{nout} = zeros(3,1);</pre>
<pre>varargout{nout} = zeros(3,1);</pre>	varargout{nout}(1) = 2.95867E+01;
<pre>varargout{nout}(1) = 1.50000E+00;</pre>	varargout{nout}(2) = 3.00000E+01;
nout = nout + 1;	varargout{nout}(3) = 2.99175E+01;
<pre>varargout{nout} = zeros(2,1);</pre>	nout = nout + 1;
varargout{nout}(1) = 4.92559E-01;	<pre>varargout{nout} = zeros(3,1);</pre>
varargout{nout}(2) = 1.00000E+00;	varargout{nout}(1) = 6.07791E-01;
nout = nout + 1;	varargout{nout}(2) = 7.57890E-01;
<pre>varargout{nout} = zeros(3,1);</pre>	varargout{nout}(3) = 1.50303E-01;
varargout{nout}(1) = 1.10000E+00;	nout = nout + 1;
varargout{nout}(2) = 1.09589E+00;	<pre>varargout{nout} = zeros(3,1);</pre>
varargout{nout}(3) = 1.09695E+00;	varargout{nout}(1) = 6.07791E-01;
nout = nout + 1;	varargout{nout}(2) = 7.57890E-01;
<pre>varargout{nout} = zeros(3,1);</pre>	varargout{nout}(3) = 1.50303E-01;
varargout{nout}(2) =-8.30605E-02;	nout = nout + 1;
varargout{nout}(3) =-6.65812E-02;	<pre>varargout{nout} = zeros(3,1);</pre>
nout = nout + 1;	varargout{nout}(1) =-5.26614E-01;
<pre>varargout{nout} = zeros(3,1);</pre>	varargout{nout}(2) =-6.81753E-09;
varargout{nout}(1) = 7.97250E-01;	nout = nout + 1;
varargout{nout}(2) = 4.06715E-02;	<pre>varargout{nout} = zeros(3,1);</pre>
varargout{nout}(3) = 3.45458E-01;	nout = nout + 1;
nout = nout + 1;	<pre>varargout{nout} = zeros(3,1);</pre>

Fig. 10. Output file psatsol.m for the three-bus test system.

Standard OPF         Single-Period Auction         Power Supplies         Bus       Ps         Pay       Ps max         (1)       [MW]         1,0000       150.0000       100.0000         2,0000       0.0000       100.0000         2,0000       0.0000       100.0000         2,0000       0.0000       100.0000         Power Demands       Bus       Pd         Bus       Pd       Pd max       Pd min         (1)       [MW]       [MW]       [MW]         3,0000       100.0000       0.0000       2.0000         2,0000       49.2559       100.0000       0.0000         2,0000       4.92559       150.0000       -150.0000         2,0000       4.0672       150.0000       -150.0000         2,0000       4.0672       150.0000       -150.0000         2,0000       4.0672       150.0000       -150.0000         2,0000       4.0672       150.0000       -150.0000         2,0000       4.0672       150.0000       -150.0000         2,0000       1.0000       30.0223       160.0000       30.0000         2,0000       1.0000 <th colspan="5"></th>							
Standard OPF Single-Period Auction Power Supplies Bus Ps Ps max Ps min (i) [MM] [MM] [MM] 1.0000 150.0000 100.0000 0.0000 2.0000 0.0000 100.0000 0.0000 Power Demands Bus Pd Pd max Pd min (i) [MM] [MM] [MM] 3.0000 100.0000 100.0000 0.0000 2.0000 49.2559 100.0000 0.0000 Generator Reactive Powers Bus Qg Og max Og min (i) [MVar] [MVar] [MVar] 1.0000 79.7251 150.0000 -150.0000 2.0000 4.0672 150.0000 -150.0000 Power Flow Solution Bus V theta PG PL QG QL (i) [p.u.] [rad] [MW] [MM] [MVar] [MVar] 1.0000 1.0959 -0.0831 100.0000 199.2559 4.0672 92.9861 3.0000 1.0959 -0.0831 100.0000 199.2559 4.0672 92.9861 3.0000 1.0959 -0.0831 100.0000 34.5459 90.0000 Prices and Pays Bus LMP NCP Pay S Pay D (i) [5/MMh] [5/h] [5/h] [5/h] 1.0000 29.5868 0.0000 -8882.6037 4438.0139 2.0000 30.0000 0.0292 -3000.0000 5977.6770 3.0000 2.9.9176 0.0231 -2991.7556 4487.6335 Flows on Transmission Lines Flows on Transection Level = 499.255 [MW] Total Losses = 0.744 [MM] Tota							
Single-Period Auction Power Supplies Bus Ps Ps max Ps min <i> [MW] [MW] [MW] 1.0000 150.0000 100.0000 0.0000 3.0000 0.0000 100.0000 0.0000 2.0000 0.0000 100.0000 0.0000 Power Demands Bus Pd Pd max Pd min <i> [MW] [MW] [MW] 3.0000 100.0000 100.0000 0.0000 2.0000 49.2559 100.0000 0.0000 Generator Reactive Powers Bus Qg Qg max Qg min <i> [MW] [MW] [MW] 1.0000 19.7251 150.0000 -150.0000 2.0000 4.0672 150.0000 -150.0000 3.0000 34.5459 150.0000 -150.0000 3.0000 34.5459 150.0000 -150.0000 2.0000 4.0672 150.0000 -150.0000 2.0000 4.0672 150.0000 -150.0000 2.0000 1.0559 -0.0831 100.0000 199.2555 4.0672 92.9861 3.0000 1.0959 -0.0831 100.0000 199.2559 4.0672 92.9861 3.0000 1.0959 -0.0666 100.0000 199.2559 4.0672 92.9861 3.0000 1.0959 -0.0831 100.0000 199.2559 4.0672 92.9861 3.0000 1.0970 -0.0666 100.0000 5977.6770 3.0000 29.5168 0.0000 -8882.6037 4438.0139 2.0000 30.0000 0.0292 -3000.0000 5977.6770 3.0000 29.3176 0.0231 -2991.7556 4487.6335 Flows on Transmission Lines From To Iij Iijmax Margin Iji Ijimax Margin <i> <j> [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [1.000 2.0000 0.757 999.0000 998.3922 1.0000 2.0000 0.757 999.0000 998.3421 2.0000 3.0000 0.0759 999.0000 998.3421 2.0000 3.0000 0.0759 999.0000 998.3421 2.0000 3.0000 0.0759 999.0000 998.3421 2.0000 3.0000 0.5153 999.0000 998.3421 2.0000 3.0000 0.5153 999.0000 998.3421 2.0000 3.0000 0.5579 999.0000 998.3421 3.0000 2.0000 0.7579 999.0000 998.3421 3.0000 2.0000 0.7579 999.0000 998.3421 3.0000 2.0000 0.553 999.0000 998.3421 3.0000 3.0000 0.553 999.0000 998.3421 3.0000 3.0000 0.553 999.0000 998.3421 3.0000 3.0000 0.553 999.0000 998.3422 3.0000 3.0000 0.553 999.0000 998.3421 3.0000 3.0000 0.553 999.0000 998.3421 3.0000 3.0000 0.553 999.0000 998.3423 3.0000 3.0000 0.553 999.0000 99</j></i></i></i></i>	Standard OPF						
Power Supplies           Bus         Ps         Ps max         Ps min           ci>         [MW]         [MW]         [MW]           1.0000         150.0000         0.0000           3.0000         0.0000         100.0000         0.0000           3.0000         0.0000         100.0000         0.0000           Power Demands         Bus         Pd         Pd max         Pd min           ci>         [MW]         [MW]         [MW]         3.000         100.0000         0.0000           2.0000         49.2559         100.0000         0.0000         2.0000         49.2559         100.0000         100.0000           2.0000         49.2559         100.0000         150.0000         2.0000         2.0000         4.0672         150.0000           2.0000         4.0672         150.0000         -150.0000         2.0000         2.09861           3.0000         1.0970         -0.0666         100.0000         199.2559         4.0672         92.9861           3.0000         1.0970         -0.0666         100.0000         34.5459         90.0000           Prices and Pays         Bus         LMP         NCP         Pay S         Pay D <t< td=""><td>Single-Period</td><td>Auction</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Single-Period	Auction					
Dower Supplies           Bus         Ps         Ps max         Ps min <ii< td="">         [MM]         [MM]         [MM]           1.0000         150.0000         150.0000         0.0000           3.0000         0.0000         100.0000         0.0000           Power Demands         Bus         Pd         Pd max         Pd min            [MM]         [MM]         [MM]         [MM]           3.0000         100.0000         0.0000         0.0000           2.0000         49.2559         100.0000         0.0000           2.0000         49.2559         100.0000         -150.0000           2.0000         4.0672         150.0000         -150.0000           2.0000         4.0672         150.0000         -150.0000           2.0000         4.0672         150.0000         190.2559           1.0000         1.0000         0.0000         190.2559         4.0672         92.9861           3.0000         1.0970         -0.0666         100.0000         190.2559         4.0672         92.9861           3.0000         1.0970         -0.0831         100.0000         190.2559         4.0672         92.9861</ii<>							
<pre>ci&gt; [MW] [MW] [MW] [MW] 1.0000 150.0000 150.0000 0.0000 2.0000 0.0000 100.0000 0.0000 2.0000 0.0000 100.0000 0.0000 Power Demands Bus Pd Pd max Pd min <i> [MW] [MW] [MW] 3.0000 100.0000 100.0000 0.0000 49.2559 100.0000 0.0000 2.0000 49.2559 100.0000 -150.0000 2.0000 4.0672 150.0000 -150.0000 2.0000 1.0070 .0000 30.2223 150.0000 79.7251 80.0000 2.0000 1.000 0.0000 30.2223 150.0000 79.7251 80.0000 2.0000 1.000 0.0000 30.2223 150.0000 79.7251 80.0000 2.0000 1.0000 0.000 199.2559 4.0672 92.9861 3.0000 1.0970 -0.0666 100.0000 150.0000 34.5459 90.0000 Prices and Pays Bus LMP NCP Pay S Pay D rices and Pays Bus LMP NCP Pay S Pay D <i> [5/MMh] [5/MNh] [5/h] [5/h] 1.000 29.9176 0.0231 -2991.7556 4487.6335 Plows on Transmission Lines From To Iij Iijmax Margin Iji Ijimax Margin ci&gt; cj&gt; [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] 1.000 2.0000 0.757 999.0000 998.3421 0.7579 999.0000 998.3422 1.0000 2.0000 0.7579 999.0000 998.3421 0.7579 999.0000 998.3422 1.0000 2.0000 0.7579 999.0000 998.3421 0.7579 999.0000 998.34241 2.0000 3.0000 0.153 999.0000 998.8497 0.1503 999.0000 998.34241 2.0000 3.0000 0.153 999.0000 998.8497 0.1503 999.0000 998.34241 2.0000 3.0000 0.578 999.0000 998.8497 0.1503 999.0000 998.34241 2.0000 3.0000 0.5579 999.0000 998.8497 0.1503 999.0000 998.34241 2.0000 3.0000 0.578 999.0000 998.8497 0.1503 999.0000 998.8497 Totals </i></i></pre>	Power Supplies Bus	Ps	Ps max	Ps mi	n		
1.0000 150.0000 100.0000 0.0000 2.0000 0.0000 100.0000 0.0000 2.0000 0.0000 100.0000 0.0000 Power Demands Bus Pd Pd max Pd min <i> [MW] [MW] [MW] 3.0000 100.0000 100.0000 0.0000 2.0000 49.2559 100.0000 0.0000 3.0000 49.2559 100.0000 0.0000 Generator Reactive Powers Bus Qg Qg max Qg min <i [mvar]="" [mvar]<br="">Subsection State Sta</i></i>	<i></i>	[ MW ]	[ MW ]	[ MW ]			
3.0000 0.0000 100.0000 0.0000 Power Demands Bus Pd Pd max Pd min <i>(i) [MW] [MW] [MW] 3.0000 100.0000 100.0000 0.0000 2.0000 49.2559 100.0000 0.0000 Generator Reactive Powers Bus Qg Q g max Qg min <i>(i) [MWar] [MWar] [MWar] 1.0000 79.7251 150.0000 -150.0000 3.0000 4.0672 150.0000 -150.0000 3.0000 34.5459 150.0000 -150.0000 3.0000 34.5459 150.0000 -150.0000 Power Flow Solution Bus V theta PG PL QG QL <i>(i) [p.u.] [rad] [MW] [MW] [MWar] [MWar] 1.0000 1.0959 -0.0831 100.0000 199.2559 4.0672 92.9861 3.0000 1.0959 -0.0666 100.0000 150.0000 34.5459 90.0000 Prices and Pays Bus LMP NCP Pay S Pay D <i>(i) [5/MMh] [5/M] [5/M] [5/M] 1.0000 29.5668 0.0000 -8822.6037 4438.0139 2.0000 30.0000 0.0222 -3000.0000 5977.6770 3.0000 29.9176 0.0231 -2991.7556 4487.6335 Flows on Transmission Lines From To Iij Iijmax Margin Iji Ijimax Margin <i><i><i><i><i><i><i><i><i><i><i><i><i>&lt;</i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i>	1.0000	150.0000	150.0000	0.000	0		
Power Demands           Bus         Pd         Pd max         Pd min <i>         [MM]         [MM]         [MM]           3.0000         100.0000         100.0000         0.0000           2.0000         49.2559         100.0000         0.0000           Generator Reactive Powers         Bus         Qg         Qg max         Qg min           cix         [MVar]         [MVar]         [MVar]         [MVar]           1.0000         79.7251         150.0000         -150.0000           2.0000         4.0672         150.0000         -150.0000           2.0000         34.5459         150.0000         -150.0000           2.0000         1.000         0.0001         300.2223         150.0000           2.0000         1.0000         0.0001         160.0000         190.2559         40.672         92.9861           3.0000         1.0970         -0.0666         100.0001         190.2559         4.0672         92.9861           3.0000         1.0970         -0.0666         100.0000         5977.6770         3.0000           3.0000         2.5568         0.0000         -882.6037         4438.0139         2.0000         988.3922</i>	2.0000	0.0000	100.0000	0.000	0		
Power Demands Bus Pd Pd max Pd min <i>[MW] [MW] [MW] 3.0000 100.0000 100.0000 0.0000 2.0000 49.2559 100.0000 0.0000 Generator Reactive Powers Bus Qg Qg max Qg min <i>[MVar] [MVar] [MVar] 1.000 79.7251 150.0000 -150.0000 2.0000 4.0672 150.0000 -150.0000 3.0000 34.5459 150.0000 -150.0000 Power Flow Solution Bus V theta PG PL QG QL <i>[p] [rad] [MW] [MW] [MVar] [MVar] 1.000 1.0000 0.0000 30.2223 150.0000 79.7251 80.0000 2.0000 1.0959 -0.0831 100.0000 199.2559 4.0672 92.9861 3.0000 1.0970 -0.0666 100.0000 150.0000 34.5459 90.0000 Prices and Pays Bus LMP NCP Pay S Pay D <i [\$="" [5="" h]<br="" mn]="" mw]="" mwh]="">1.000 29.5868 0.0000 -8882.6037 4438.0139 2.0000 30.0000 0.0222 -3000.0000 5977.6770 3.0000 29.9176 0.0231 -2991.7556 4487.6335 Flows on Transmission Lines From To Iij Iijmax Margin Iji Ijimax Margin <i><i <j="">[p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [1.000 2.0000 0.757 999.0000 998.3922 0.6078 999.0000 988.3922 1.0000 3.0000 0.757 999.0000 998.2421 0.7579 999.0000 988.3421 2.0000 3.0000 0.0757 999.0000 998.4927 0.1503 999.0000 988.3421 2.0000 3.0000 0.1503 999.0000 998.8497 0.1503 999.0000 988.3421 2.0000 3.0000 0.5759 999.0000 998.8497 0.1503 999.0000 988.3421 2.0000 3.0000 0.5759 999.0000 998.8497 0.1503 999.0000 988.3421 2.0000 3.0000 0.5759 999.0000 998.8497 0.1503 999.0000 988.3421 2.0000 3.0000 0.5579 [P.U.] [p.U</i></i></i></i></i></i>							
Bus       Fu       Fu MMI       Fu MMI       Fu MMI         <1>       100.000       100.0000       0.0000         3.0000       100.0000       0.0000       0.0000         2.0000       49.2559       100.0000       0.0000         Generator Reactive Powers       Bus       Qg       Qg max       Qg min          (INVar)       [MWar]       [MWar]       [MWar]         1.0000       79.7251       150.0000       -150.0000         2.0000       4.0672       150.0000       -150.0000         2.0000       3.45459       150.0000       -150.0000         Power Flow Solution       Bus       V       theta       PG       PL       QG       QL         exist       [p.u.1]       [rad]       [MW]       [MVar]       [MVar]       10000       2.0000       2.0000       2.0000       2.0000       2.0000       2.0000       2.0000       2.0000       2.0000       2.0000       2.0000       2.0000       2.0000       2.0000       2.0000       2.0000       2.0000       2.0000       2.0000       2.0000       2.0000       2.0000       2.0000       2.0000       2.0000       2.0000       2.0000       2.0000       2.0000	Power Demands	Dd	Dd may	Dd mi	~		
3.0000 100.0000 100.0000 0.0000 2.0000 49.2559 100.0000 0.0000 Generator Reactive Powers Bus Qg Qg max Qg min <i>[MVar] [MVar] [MVar] 1.0000 79.7251 150.0000 -150.0000 2.0000 4.0672 150.0000 -150.0000 3.0000 34.5459 150.0000 -150.0000 Power Flow Solution Bus V theta PG PL QG QL <i>[MVar] [MVar] [MVar] 1.0000 1.0000 0.000 30.223 150.0000 79.7251 80.0000 2.0000 1.0959 -0.0831 100.0000 199.2559 4.0672 92.9861 3.0000 1.0970 -0.0666 100.0000 150.0000 34.5459 90.0000 Prices and Pays Bus LMP NCP Pay S Pay D <i [5="" h]="" h]<br="" mmh]="">1.0000 29.5868 0.0000 -8882.6037 4438.0139 2.0000 30.0000 0.0292 -3000.0000 5977.6770 3.0000 29.5176 0.0231 -2991.7556 4487.6335 Flows on Transmission Lines From To Iij Iijmax Margin Iji Ijmax Margin <i><i <j="">[] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] 1.0000 2.0000 0.757 999.0000 998.3222 0.6078 999.0000 988.3922 1.0000 2.0000 0.7579 999.0000 998.2421 0.7579 999.0000 988.3922 1.0000 2.0000 0.513 999.0000 998.8497 0.1503 999.0000 988.8497 Totals </i></i></i></i></i>	<i>&gt;</i>	[MW]	[MW]	[MW]			
2.0000 49.2559 100.0000 0.0000 Generator Reactive Powers Bus Qg Qg max Qg min <i> [MVar] [MVar] [MVar] 1.0000 79.7251 150.0000 -150.0000 3.0000 34.5459 150.0000 -150.0000 Power Flow Solution Bus V theta PG PL QG QL <i> [p.u.] [rad] [MW] [MW] [MVar] [MVar] 1.0000 1.0000 0.0000 300.2223 150.0000 79.7251 80.0000 2.0000 1.0959 -0.0831 100.0000 199.2559 4.0672 92.9861 3.0000 1.0970 -0.0666 100.0000 150.0000 34.5459 90.0000 Prices and Pays Bus LMP NCP Pay S Pay D <i> [s/MWh] [s/M] [s/h] 1.0000 29.5868 0.0000 -8882.6037 4438.0139 2.0000 30.0000 0.0222 -3000.0000 5977.6770 3.0000 29.9176 0.0231 -2991.7556 4487.6335 Flows on Transmission Lines From To Iij Iijmax Margin Iji Ijimax Margin <i> <i> <i> (j, [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] 1.0000 2.0000 0.7579 999.0000 998.3922 0.6078 999.0000 998.3922 1.0000 3.0000 0.1503 999.0000 998.42421 0.7579 999.0000 998.342421 2.0000 3.0000 0.579 999.0000 998.4497 0.1503 999.0000 998.34241 2.0000 3.0000 0.579 999.0000 998.8497 0.1503 999.0000 998.3497 Totals Totals Total demand = 149.2559 [MW] Total Transaction Level = 499.255 [MW] ISO Pay = 28.9638 [s/h] </i></i></i></i></i></i>	3.0000	100.0000	100.0000	0.000	0		
Generator Reactive Powers           Bus         Qg max         Qg min           cis (MWar)         (MWar)           IMWar)         [MWar]           1.0000         7.251         150.0000           2.0000         4.0672         150.0000           2.0000         3.0000         3.0000           3.0000         3.0000         1.0000           Power Flow Solution           Bus         V         theta         PG         PC         QG         QL           2.0000         1.0000         1.0000         2.023         1.0000         2.023         1.0000         2.024           PLE         Pay         Pay D           SPay D           CAP         2.02607         4438.0139           2.0000         2.0267         4437.6335           Plows on Transmission Lines <td c<="" td=""><td>2.0000</td><td>49.2559</td><td>100.0000</td><td>0.000</td><td>0</td><td></td></td>	<td>2.0000</td> <td>49.2559</td> <td>100.0000</td> <td>0.000</td> <td>0</td> <td></td>	2.0000	49.2559	100.0000	0.000	0	
Bus         Qg         Qg max         Qg min <i>         [MVar]         [MVar]         [MVar]           1.0000         79,7251         150.0000         -150.0000           2.0000         4.0672         150.0000         -150.0000           2.0000         34.5459         150.0000         -150.0000           Power Flow Solution         Bus         V         theta         PG         PL         QG         QL           <i>&gt;         [p.u.]         [rad]         [MW]         [MWar]         [MVar]         [MVar]           1.0000         1.0000         0.0000         189.2559         4.0672         92.9861           3.0000         1.0970         -0.0666         100.0000         180.0000         34.5459         90.0000           Prices and Pays         Bus         LMP         NCP         Pay S         Pay D           <i>         [5/MMh]         [5/h]         [5/h]         [5/h]         1.0000           1.0000         29.5868         0.0000         -882.6037         4438.0139         2.0000           2.0000         30.0000         0.0222         -3000.0000         5977.6770         3.0000         29.9176         0.0231         -2991.755&lt;</i></i></i>	Generator Read	tive Powers					
<pre><i>(i) [MVar] [MVar] [MVar] 1.0000 79,7251 150.0000 -150.0000 2.0000 4.0672 150.0000 -150.0000 3.0000 34.5459 150.0000 -150.0000 Power Flow Solution Bus V theta PG PL QG QL <i>(i) [p.u.] [rad] [MW] [MW] [MVar] [MVar] 1.0000 1.0000 0.000 3223 150.0000 79.7251 80.0000 2.0000 1.0959 -0.0831 100.0000 199.2559 4.0672 92.9861 3.0000 1.0970 -0.0666 100.0000 150.0000 34.5459 90.0000 Prices and Pays Bus LMP NCP Pay S Pay D <i>(i) [5/MWh] [5/h] [5/h] [5/h] 1.0000 29.5868 0.0000 -8882.6037 4438.0139 2.0000 30.0000 0.0292 -3000.0000 5977.6770 3.0000 29.9176 0.0231 -2991.7556 4487.6335 Flows on Transmission Lines From To Iij Iijmax Margin Iji Ijimax Margin <i><i><i><i><i><i><i><i><i><i><i><i><i>&lt;</i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></pre>	Bus	Qg	Qg max	Qg mi	n		
1.0000 7.9.7251 150.0000 -1.50.0000 3.0000 34.5459 150.0000 -150.0000 Power Flow Solution Bus V theta PG PL QG QL (is [p.u.] [rad] [MW] [MW] [MVar] [MVar] 1.0000 1.0000 0.0000 300.2223 150.0000 79.7251 80.0000 2.0000 1.0959 -0.0666 100.0000 190.2559 4.0672 92.9661 3.0000 1.0959 -0.0666 100.0000 150.0000 34.5459 90.0000 Prices and Pays Bus LMP NCP Pay S Pay D (is [S/MWh] [S/MW] [S/h] [S/h] 1.0000 29.5868 0.0000 -8882.6037 4438.0139 2.0000 30.0000 0.0292 -3000.0000 597.6770 3.0000 29.9176 0.0231 -2991.7556 4487.6335 Flows on Transmission Lines From To Iij Iijmax Margin Iji Ijimax Margin (i> <j> [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] 1.0000 3.0000 0.6778 999.0000 998.2421 0.7579 999.0000 998.3922 1.0000 3.0000 0.5778 999.0000 998.2421 0.7579 999.0000 998.2421 2.0000 3.0000 0.5759 999.0000 998.2421 0.7579 999.0000 998.2421 2.0000 3.0000 0.1503 999.0000 998.8497 0.1503 999.0000 998.8497 Totals Total Losses = 0.766 [MW] Bid Losses = 0.744 (MW] Total demand = 149.2559 [MW] Total demand = 149.2559 [MW] Total Transaction Level = 499.255 [MW] ISO Pay = 28.9638 [S/h]</j>	<i></i>	[MVar]	[MVar]	[MVar	]		
3.000 34.5459 150.000 -150.000 Power Flow Solution Bus V theta PG PL QG QL (ix [p.u.] [rad] [MW] [MW] [MWar] [MWar] 1.0000 1.0000 0.0000 300.2223 150.0000 79.7251 80.0000 2.0000 1.0959 -0.0831 100.0000 199.2559 4.0672 92.9861 3.0000 1.0970 -0.0666 100.0000 150.0000 34.5459 90.0000 Prices and Pays Bus LMP NCP PayS PayD cis [\$/MMh] [\$/M] [\$/h] 1.0000 29.5868 0.0000 -8882.6037 4438.0139 2.0000 30.0000 0.0292 -3000.0000 5977.6770 3.0000 29.9176 0.0231 -2991.7556 4487.6335 Flows on Transmission Lines From To Iij Iijmax Margin Iji Ijimax Margin <i><i><i><i><i><i><i><i><i><i><i><i><i>&lt;</i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i>	2.0000	4.0672	150.0000	-150.0	000		
Power Flow Solution         QG         QL           Bus         V         theta         PC         QG         QL <i>&gt; [p.u.]         [rad]         [MW]         [MWar]         [MWar]         [MWar]           1.0000         1.0000         300.2223         150.0000         79.7251         80.0000           2.0000         1.0970         -0.0831         100.0000         199.2559         4.0672         92.9861           3.0000         1.0970         -0.0666         100.0000         150.0000         34.5459         90.0000           Prices and Pays         Bus         LMP         NCP         Pay S         Pay D           cix         [\$/MWh]         [\$/MWh]         [\$/h]         [\$/h]         1.0000         29.5568         0.0000         -6882.6037         4438.0139           2.0000         30.0000         0.0222         -3000.0000         5977.6770         3.0000         29.9176         0.0231         -29917.556         4487.6335           Flows on Transmission Lines         From To         [j.u.]         [p.u.]         [p.u.]</i>	3.0000	34.5459	150.0000	-150.0	000		
Bus         V         Iheta         PG         PL         QG         QL           <1>         [p.u.]         [rad]         [MW]         [MW]         [MW]         [MWar]           1.0000         1.0000         300.2223         150.0000         79.7251         80.0000           2.0000         1.0950         -0.0831         100.0000         199.2559         4.0672         92.9861           3.0000         1.0970         -0.0666         100.0000         150.0000         34.5459         90.0000           Prices and Pays         Bus         LMP         NCP         Pay S         Pay D <is< td="">         [\$/MWh]         [\$/Mh]         [\$/h]         [\$/h]         1.0000         29.5868         0.0000         -6882.6037         438.0139           2.0000         30.0000         .0231         -2991.7556         4487.6335         91.0000         29.9176         0.0231         -2991.7556         4487.6335           Flows on Transmission Lines         From To Ij Ijmax Margin Iji Ijmax Margin         [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.]         [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p</is<>	Dower Flow Sol	ution					
<pre>ci&gt; [p.u.] [rad] [MW] [MW] [MVar] [MVar] 1.0000 1.1000 0.000 30.223 150.0000 79.7251 80.0000 2.0000 1.0979 -0.0666 100.0000 199.2559 4.0672 92.9861 3.0000 1.0979 -0.0666 100.0000 150.0000 34.5459 90.0000 Prices and Pays Bus LMP NCP Pays Pay D ci&gt; [5/MWh] [5/M] [5/M] 1.0000 29.5868 0.0000 -8882.6037 4438.0139 2.0000 30.000 0.0292 -3000.0000 5977.6770 3.0000 29.9176 0.0231 -2991.7556 4487.6335 Flows on Transmission Lines From To Iij Iijmax Margin Iji Ijimax Margin ci&gt; cj&gt; [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] 1.0000 2.0000 0.7579 999.0000 998.3222 1.0000 2.0000 0.7579 999.0000 998.2421 0.7579 999.0000 998.3222 1.0000 3.0000 0.513 999.0000 998.8497 0.1503 999.0000 998.8497 Totals </pre>	Bus V	theta	PG	PL	QG	QL	
<pre>1.0000 1.1000 0.0000 300.2223 150.0000 79.7251 80.0000 2.0000 1.0959 -0.0831 100.0000 190.2559 4.0672 92.9661 3.0000 1.0970 -0.0666 100.0000 150.0000 34.5459 90.0000 Prices and Pays Bus LMP NCP Pay S Pay D </pre> <pre> vi&gt; [\$/MWh] [\$/MN] [\$/h] [\$/h] 1.0000 29.5568 0.0000 -8822.6037 4438.0139 2.0000 30.0000 0.0292 -3000.0000 5977.6770 3.0000 29.9176 0.0231 -2991.7556 4487.6335 Flows on Transmission Lines From To Iij Iijmax Margin Iji Ijimax Margin </pre> <pre> ci&gt; ci&gt; (j p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] 1.0000 3.0000 0.577 999.0000 998.3922 1.0000 3.0000 0.577 999.0000 998.2421 0.7579 999.0000 998.3922 1.0000 3.0000 0.5153 999.0000 998.2421 0.7579 999.0000 998.2421 2.0000 3.0000 0.1503 999.0000 998.8497 0.1503 999.0000 998.8497 Totals Totals Totals Flows = 0.744 [MW] Total demand = 149.2559 [MW] Total Transaction Level = 499.255 [MW] ISO Pay = 28.9638 [\$/h] Check file ~\fmilano\psat\fm gams.lst for GAMS report. Check file ~\fmilano\psat\fm gams.lst for GAMS report. </pre>	<i>&gt; [p.u.</i>	] [rad]	[MW]	[ MW ]	[MVar]	[MVar]	
2.0000 1.0970 -0.0666 100.0000 199.2539 4.0672 92.9661 Prices and Pays Bus LMP NCP Pay S Pay D <i> [\$/MWh] [\$/h] [\$/h] 1.0000 29.5568 0.0000 -8882.6037 4438.0139 2.0000 30.0000 0.0292 -3000.0000 5977.6770 3.0000 29.9176 0.0231 -2991.7556 4487.6335 Flows on Transmission Lines From To Iij Iijmax Margin Iji Ijimax Margin <i <i=""> <i> [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] 1.0000 3.0000 0.7579 999.0000 998.3922 0.6078 999.0000 998.3922 1.0000 3.0000 0.579 999.0000 998.4241 0.7579 999.0000 998.2421 2.0000 3.0000 0.1503 999.0000 998.8497 0.1503 999.0000 998.8497 Totals Totals Total demand = 149.2559 [MW] Total Transaction Level = 499.255 [MW] ISO Pay = 28.9638 [\$/h] </i></i></i>	1.0000 1.100	0.0000	300.2223	150.0000	79.7251	80.0000	
Prices and Pays Bus LMP NCP Pay S Pay D <i> [\$/MWh] [\$/MWh] [\$/h] [\$/h] 1.0000 29.5868 0.0000 -8882.6037 4438.0139 2.0000 30.0000 0.0292 -3000.0000 5977.6770 3.0000 29.9176 0.0231 -2991.7556 4487.6335 Flows on Transmission Lines From To Iij Iijmax Margin Iji Ijimax Margin <iz <j=""> [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] 1.0000 3.0000 0.6757 999.0000 998.3222 1.0000 2.0000 0.7579 999.0000 998.2421 0.7579 999.0000 998.3222 1.0000 3.0000 0.5153 999.0000 998.8497 0.1503 999.0000 998.8497 Totals Total Losses = 0.766 [MW] Bid Losses = 0.764 [MW] Total Transaction Level = 499.255 [MW] ISO Fay = 28.9638 [\$/h] </iz></i>	3.0000 1.095	0 -0.0831 -0.0666	100.0000	150.0000	4.0672 34.5459	90.0000	
Prices and Pays Bus LMP NCP Pay S Pay D <i>[5/MWh] [\$/MNh] [\$/h] [\$/h] 1.0000 29.5868 0.0000 -8882.6037 4438.0139 2.0000 30.0000 0.0292 -3000.0000 5977.6770 3.0000 29.9176 0.0221 -2991.7556 4487.6335 Flows on Transmission Lines From To Iij Iijmax Margin Iji Ijimax Margin <i><i><i><i><i><i><i><i><i><i><i><i><i>&lt;</i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i>							
Bus         Dur Pay D         Pay D           cix         [\$/MWh]         [\$/Mwh]         [\$/Mwh]         [\$/Mwh]           1.0000         29.5868         0.0000         -8882.6037         4438.0139           2.0000         30.0000         0.0292         -3000.0000         597.6770           3.0000         29.9176         0.0231         -2991.7556         4487.6335           Flows on Transmission Lines         Prom         To         Ii jimax         Margin           1.0000         3.0000         0.6078         999.0000         988.3922         0.6078         999.0000         988.3922           1.0000         3.0000         0.5779         999.0000         998.2421         0.7579         999.0000         998.2421           2.0000         3.0000         0.5153         999.0000         998.2421         0.503         999.0000         998.2421           2.0000         3.0000         0.1503         999.0000         998.2421         0.503         999.0000         998.2421           Total         Losses = 0.744 (MW]         Margin         Total Tensaction Level = 499.255 [MW]         1500 Pay = 28.9638 [\$/h]           Check file ~\fmilano\psat\fm gams.lst for GAMS report	Prices and Pay	'S	Dotr C	De			
<pre>1.0000 29.55668 0.0000 -8882.6037 4438.0139 2.0000 30.0000 0.0292 -3000.0000 5977.6770 3.0000 29.9176 0.0231 -2991.7556 4487.6335 Flows on Transmission Lines From To Iij Iijmax Margin Iji Ijimax Margin <i><i><i><i><i><i><i><i><i><i><i><i><i>&lt;</i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></pre>	<i><i><i><i><i><i><i><i><i><i><i><i><i>&lt;</i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i>	whl [\$/MW]	h] [\$/h]	Pa [\$	/hl		
2.0000 30.0000 0.0292 -3000.000 5977.6770 3.0000 29.9176 0.0231 -2991.7556 4487.6335 Flows on Transmission Lines From To Iij Iijmax Margin Iji Ijimax Margin <is <j=""> [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] 1.0000 3.0000 0.07579 999.0000 998.3922 0.6078 999.0000 998.3922 1.0000 2.0000 0.7579 999.0000 998.2421 0.7579 999.0000 998.2421 2.0000 3.0000 0.1503 999.0000 998.8497 0.1503 999.0000 998.8497 Totals Totals Total Losses = 0.744 [MW] Total demand = 149.2559 [MW] Total Transaction Level = 499.255 [MW] ISO Fay = 28.9638 [\$/h] </is>	1.0000 29.5	868 0.000	0 -8882.	6037 44	38.0139		
3.0000       29.176       0.0221       -2991.7550       440.6335         Flows on Transmission Lines       From To Iij Iijmax Margin Iji Ijimax Margin       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()       ()	2.0000 30.0	0.029	2 -3000.	0000 59	77.6770		
<pre>Flows on Transmission Lines From To Iij Iijmax Margin Iji Ijimax Margin </pre> <pre>(-i&gt; <j> [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] [p.u.] 1.0000 3.0000 0.6078 999.0000 998.3922 0.6078 999.0000 998.3922 0.0078 999.0000 998.4241 2.0000 3.0000 0.1503 999.0000 998.8497 0.1503 999.0000 998.2421 2.0000 3.0000 0.1503 999.0000 998.8497 0.1503 999.0000 998.8497 Totals Total Losses = 0.966 [MN] Bid Losses = 0.744 [MM] Total demand = 149.2559 [MW] Total Tanasction Level = 499.255 [MW] ISO Pay = 28.9638 [\$/h] Check file ~\fmilano\psat\fm gams.lst for GAMS report.</j></pre>	3.0000 29.9	0.023	-2991.	/550 44	0/.0335		
Prom       To       Ij imax       Margin       Ij imax       Margin       Igimax       Margin         (ix       (j>       [p.u.]       [	Flows on Trans	mission Line	s				
Cl (p. c. p. s.	From To	Iij Ii	jmax Mar	gin Iji	Ijimas	(Margin	
1.0000 2.0000 0.7579 999.0000 998.2421 0.7579 999.0000 998.2421 2.0000 3.0000 0.1503 999.0000 998.8497 0.1503 999.0000 998.8497 Totals 	1.0000 3.0000	0.6078 99	9.0000 998	.3922 0.6	078 999.00	00 998.3922	
2.0000 3.0000 0.1503 999.0000 998.8497 0.1503 999.0000 998.8497 Totals Total Losses = 0.966 [MW] Bid Losses = 0.744 [MW] Total Tansaction Level = 499.255 [MW] ISO Pay = 28.9638 [\$/h] 	1.0000 2.0000	0.7579 99	9.0000 998	.2421 0.7	579 999.00	000 998.2421	
Totals Total Losses = 0.966 [MW] Bid Losses = 0.744 [MW] Total Tansaction Level = 499.255 [MW] ISO Pay = 28.9638 [\$/h] 	2.0000 3.0000	0.1503 99	9.0000 998	.8497 0.1	503 999.00	000 998.8497	
Total Losses = 0.966 [MW] Bid Losses = 0.744 [MW] Total Tansaction Level = 499.255 [MW] ISO Pay = 28.9638 [\$/h] 	Totals						
<pre>Bid Losses = 0.744 [MW] Total Tansaction Level = 499.255 [MW] ISO Pay = 28.9638 [\$/h] Check file ~\fmilano\psat\fm_gams.lst for GAMS report. PSAT-GAMS Ortimization Bouting Completed in 1.282 s</pre>	Total Losses =	0.966 [MW]					
Total Tenanci = 149.2559 [MW] Total Tenansction Level = 499.255 [MW] ISO Pay = 28.9638 [\$/h] 	Bid Losses = 0.744 [MW]						
<pre>Stor EAWS optimized to a store and a</pre>	Total demand = 149.2559 [MW] Total Transaction Level = 499.255 [MW]						
Check file ~\fmilano\psat\fm_gams.lst for GAMS report.	ISO Pay = 28.9638 [\$/h]						
Check file ~\fmilano\psat\fm_gams.lst for GAMS report.							
PSAT-GAMS Optimization Routine completed in 1 292 s	Check file ~\f	milano\psat\	fm gams.let	for GAMS	report.		
Tour owno operandation Routine completed in 1.272 b	PSAT-GAMS Opti	mization Rou	tine comple	ted in 1.2	92 s		

Fig. 11. PSAT-GAMS OPF report for the three-bus test system.

One of the main advantages of Matlab are its advanced graphical features. Thus, the PSAT-GAMS interface provides a bridge in between the sophisticated GAMS solvers and the versatile plotting utilities of Matlab. To illustrate this feature, let us consider the RTS-96 24-bus test system. The 24-bus system as obtained using the PSAT-Simulink library is depicted in Figure 12.



Fig. 12. PSAT-Simulink model of the RTS-96 24-bus test system.



Fig. 13. PSAT GUI for plotting OPF results. The curves represent LMPs for the 24-bus system as a function of the weighting factor  $\omega$ .

Figures 13 and 14 depict the Locational Marginal Prices (LMPs) and the Total Transaction Level (TTL =  $\Sigma P_{L_i}$ ), respectively, as a function of the weighting factor  $\omega$ . Results are for the multi-objective voltage stability constrained OPF problem which was described in [6].



Fig. 14. Total transaction level (TTL) for the 24-bus system as a function of the weighting factor  $\omega$ .

## 6. Conclusions

The proposed interface is based on PSAT, which is a userfriendly toolbox provided with a complete graphical user interface and a graphical editor of one-line network diagrams. PSAT is currently used by several undergraduates, Ph.D. students and researchers, and has an active mailing list (http://groups.yahoo.com/groups/psatforum) currently counting about 400 members.

The PGI provides a variety of OPF-based market and stability optimization problems. The interface merges the sophisticated optimization solvers provided by GAMS with the advanced graphic tools of Matlab. In its current form, it is a convenient tool for educational purposes.

Among future projects, there are including new market clearing models based on mixed integer linear programming and extending the currently implemented OPF to dynamic models (e.g. synchronous machines and controllers). The PGI is open source, thus allowing anyone to quickly extend its capabilities and/or including new optimization problems. Any suggestion and/or bug report are very welcome.

### References

- M. Huneault and F. D. Galiana, "A Survey of the Optimal Power Flow Literature," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 762–770, May 1991.
- [2] G. B. Sheblé, Computational Auction Mechanism for Restructured Power Industry Operation. Boston: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1998.
- [3] M. Shahidehpour and M. Alomoush, *Restructured elec*tric power systems. New York: Marcel Dekker Publishers, 2001.

- [4] M. Shahidehpour, H. Yamin, and Z. Li, Market operations in electric power systems: forecasting, scheduling and risk management. New York: John Wiley and Sons, 2002.
- [5] A. J. Conejo and J. M. Arroyo, "Multiperiod Auction for a Pool-based electricity market," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 1225–1231, Nov. 2002.
- [6] F. Milano, C. A. Cañizares, and M. Invernizzi, "Multiobjective Optimization for Pricing System Security in Electricity Markets," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 18, no. 2, May 2003.
- [7] F. Milano, "An Open Source Power System Analysis Toolbox," Accepted for publication on IEEE Trans. on Power Systems, Mar. 2005.
- [8] R. M. Stallman, Free Software, Free Society: Selected Essays of Richard M. Stallman. Boston: Free Software Foundation, 2002.
- [9] J. W. Eaton, GNU Octave Manual. Bristol, UK: Network Theory Ltd., 1997.
- [10] A. Brooke, D. Kendrick, A. Meeraus, R. Raman, and R. E. Rosenthal, *GAMS, a User's Guide*, GAMS Development Corporation, 1217 Potomac Street, NW, Washington, DC 20007, USA, Dec. 1998, available at http://www.gams.com/.
- [11] Reliability Test System Task Force of the Application of Probability Methods subcommittee, "The IEEE Reliability Test System - 1996," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 1010–1020, Aug. 1999.
- [12] F. Milano, "PSAT, Matlab-based Power System Analysis Toolbox," 2002, available at http://thunderbox.uwaterloo.ca/~fmilano.
- [13] K. Schoder, A. Feliachi, and A. Hasanović, "PAT: A Power Analysis Toolbox for MATLAB/Simulink," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 42–47, Feb. 2003.
- [14] C. D. Vournas, E. G. Potamianakis, C. Moors, and T. V. Cutsem, "An Educational Simulation Tool for Power System Control and Stability," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 48–55, Feb. 2004.
- [15] M. C. Ferris, MATLAB and GAMS: Interfacing Optimization and Visualization Software, Computer Sciences Department, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Aug. 1999, available at http://www.cs.wisc.edu/mathprog/matlab.html.
- [16] W. D. Rosehart, C. A. Cañizares, and V. Quintana, "Multi-Objective Optimal Power Flows to Evaluate Voltage Security Costs in Power Networks," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 578–587, May 2003.
- [17] K. Xie, Y.-H. Song, J. Stonham, E. Yu, and G. Liu, "Decomposition Model and Interior Point Methods for Optimal Spot Pricing of Electricity in Deregulation Environments," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 39–50, Feb. 2000.